



## **How to promote "nearly" Zero-Energy Buildings for new and retrofitting buildings in the municipal context**

*Moderator: Cipriano, Jordi<sup>a</sup>.*

*Speakers: Sartori, Igor<sup>b</sup>; Santamouris, Mat<sup>c</sup>; Cipriano, Xavi<sup>a</sup>; Lopez, Jose Santos<sup>a</sup>.*

<sup>a</sup> *CIMNE International Center of Numerical Methods in Engineering, Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>b</sup> *NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway*

<sup>c</sup> *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis, Athens, Greece*

*Abstract: The main goal of the session is to establish a discussion among energy experts and public technicians or municipal authorities on how to promote "nearly" Zero-Energy Buildings in their municipalities. Results of several European projects (AIDA, SEMANCO), as well as some conclusions on how the nZEB definitions can be included in urban planning and in Strategic Energy plans, such as the SEAPs of the covenants of majors, will be presented to the audience.*

*Keywords: zero-energy, ZEB, nZEB, EPBD, plus-energy, refurbishment, office, embodied energy, urban microclimate, "heat islands", conservation techniques, passive solar technologies, zero-energy buildings and settlements, energy efficient urban planning, CO2 emission reduction, multi-scale perspective, multi-criteria analysis, nZEB action, nZEB criteria accomplishment, Sustainable Energy Action Plan, municipal roadmap, Covenant of Mayors*

### **Introduction**

The new EU Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD Recast - 2010/21/EU) [1] strengthens the energy performance requirements to the adoption of "nearly" zero-energy buildings (nZEBs) in the country members within the definition of date limits for the building construction or full retrofitting as nZEBs by the end of 2018 for only public buildings and the end of 2020 for all other buildings.

The session document explains the contents referred to nZEBs to be discussed between the experts and the audience. Relevant pilot experiences at building level (Section 1), how to achieve nZEBs in the urban plan level (Section 2), simulating intervention scenarios for new construction and renovation (Section 3) and the municipal roadmaps as a good media for the promotion of nZEBs (Section 4) are the main subjects to be explained below.

### **Sections**

A summary of 6-7 pages for each section is described below:



## **1. Powerhouse Kjørbo: The experience of a Plus-Energy office building in refurbishment**

*Speaker: Sartori, Igor<sup>b</sup>*

*Abstract: The first Powerhouse renovation building is built at the Kjørbo site in Bærum, and the two office buildings were ready in spring 2014. A Powerhouse is a building that shall produce more energy from onsite renewables than it uses in its life cycle. Energy efficiency measures and materials with low embodied energy have been crucial for obtaining the energy goal. A very efficient ventilation concept has been developed. A geothermal heat pump, in addition to waste heat from the data/server room, will cover the heating and cooling demand. PV panels will balance the energy needed during its lifetime. The two buildings will thus export more electricity than it will use for operation. There will be no export of thermal energy. Calculations indicate that the energy balance during the building's lifetime, and within the defined definition, fulfils the goal of plus-energy.*

*Keywords: plus-energy, refurbishment, office, embodied energy*

### **1.1. Introduction**

“Powerhouse” is a collaborative project that will demonstrate that it is possible to build plus-energy buildings in cold climates [2]. Powerhouse Kjørbo is a pilot project within the Research Centre on Zero Emission Buildings [3]. Therefore an aim is also very low greenhouse gas emissions during the building's lifetime. Powerhouse Kjørbo in Bærum is a refurbishment project of two office buildings completed in spring 2014. Bærum is located at ca. 60° North latitude, with annual mean outdoor temperature of about 6°C and annual mean horizontal irradiation of about 955 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.

The office buildings were built in 1980. Each of the buildings has heated useful floor area of about 2500 m<sup>2</sup>, divided on three or four floors. The energy consumption of the buildings before renovation was about 250 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>y. In addition to the plus-energy goal, Powerhouse Kjørbo obtained the classification “Outstanding” (highest level) in BREEAM-NOR, which is the assessment method for sustainable buildings suited for Norwegian climate, standards and criteria.

### **1.2. Methodology**

The main definition of a Powerhouse is a building that shall produce more energy from on-site renewables than it uses in its life cycle. For the first Powerhouse projects, a realistic aim is achieving an energy balance including the energy use related to operation (excluding electrical equipment), materials (including maintenance) and the construction phase. For later projects, the aim is that the energy use related to the demolition also shall be included in the

balance account. For renovation projects, only the materials added during renovation (but including maintenance and replacement during the building's lifetime), are included. In addition, the exported energy shall in average not have less quality than the imported energy. This implies that produced and exported electricity can offset corresponding amount of imported energy for both electricity and thermal purposes, while produced and exported thermal energy cannot offset imported electricity. The building shall also as a minimum fulfil all the requirements of the Norwegian Passive House standard [4].

### 1.3. Case studies

The plus-energy goal has been the definitive most important factor from the early start of the design of Powerhouse Kjørbo. This multidisciplinary design has been crucial for achieving the energy goal, and other qualities such as the building's adaptation to the site and surroundings, its functionality, esthetical qualities and indoor environment in general. As the most costly measure is the on-site electricity production, the effort concentrated on measures for energy efficiency, including minimizing the embodied energy for materials.

Energy need for ventilation normally represents a large share of the energy budget in office buildings. In Powerhouse Kjørbo ventilation energy is reduced by means of using low emitting materials to reduce the ventilation demand, demand control, displacement ventilation, low pressure design to minimize fan energy, and highly efficient heat recovery. During normal operation, the average ventilation air volume will be about 3 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>h wintertime, and about 6 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>h summertime (on warm days).

Embodied energy related to the production of all new materials constitutes nearly the same quantity as the energy needed for the operation of the building.

#### *Architecture*

The Kjørbo office site consists of a total of nine similar cubical blocks, of which two are refurbished, surrounded by a park. The exterior expression had to be preserved as similar to the original as possible, implying no change of shape and color. The façades before renovation were covered with black glass, which has been replaced by a charred wood cladding in order to preserve the dark aesthetic and reduce embodied energy and need for maintenance, see Picture 1.

*Picture 1: Left) Aerial view of the Kjørbo site; Photo: Entra Eiendom. Right) façade after refurbishment; Photo: Chris Aadland.*

#### *Building envelope*

The building envelope is highly insulated and air tight. The U-values and the level of air tightness are shown in Table 1: below.

*Table 1: Thermal properties of the building envelope, before and after renovation.*

### *Ventilation*

The ventilation concept is based on a system with extremely low pressure drop over the components and in the ventilation ducts. Components with high pressure drop, such as the heat recovery unit, are bypassed when not in use. The system utilizes displacement ventilation, which is a more efficient way to ventilate spaces than the more traditional mixing ventilation method.

The air handling unit is placed between the north-west façade and a central (existing) shaft on the upper floors. In order to reduce the velocity and pressure drop as much as possible, the existing shaft in each of the blocks will be converted to pure supply air shafts. From the shafts the supply air will be distributed to the different zones via spacious designed ventilation ducts. The concept is further based on overflow from cell offices to landscape, and further to secondary functions before the air is extracted out via the stairwell (in block 5) and a central atrium (in block 4). A spacious designed mixing battery will be utilized for free cooling of the ventilation air during the summer, and for preheating during the winter. In addition, the users will always have the possibility to open windows in the office areas. The airing via the windows will be a supplement to the mechanical ventilation system.

*Picture 2: Left) Open space office with exposed concrete ceiling and hanging sound absorption panels. Right) the stairwell used as exhaust air shaft in block 5. Photos: Chris Aadland.*

### *Heating system*

Due to the very good insulated and air tight building envelope, the heating demand is very low. Simulations show (measurements not yet available) that the requirements to thermal comfort in the cell offices will be satisfied without local heat sources. However, during the coldest days and when the cell offices are not used, the heating strategy is based on leaving the doors open towards the office landscape. The radiator plant will be limited to centrally placed and larger heating units in the office landscapes. The result is reduced heat loss, reduced energy for pumps, fewer components, less embodied energy and reduced costs.

### *Lighting*



Electric lighting comprises a large share of the energy demand in new office buildings. An energy efficient lighting concept is therefore of high importance for Powerhouse Kjørbo. Efficient daylight utilization contributes to good indoor environment and minimal use of artificial lighting. The windows are designed for high level of daylight transmission and distribution in to the rooms. All work places are located in areas along the facades. Artificial lighting has low energy demand. The lighting system is locally controlled; in the landscapes a typical lighting zone covers four work stations (about 15 m<sup>2</sup>) and there is full illumination only in the work areas.

#### *Energy supply system*

For all thermal purposes, the building will benefit from 10 and 200 meter deep energy wells, in which liquid is circulated in a closed circuit. The tempered liquid will be directly utilized for free cooling during summer, and for heating during winter. Two heat pumps are installed, designed to cover the total heat demand, including hot tap water.

For the two office buildings, a server room with 15 kW cooling capacity is planned. Expected peak at normal use is 10 kW. The installation is designed for utilization of free cooling via the energy wells in the summer season. In addition, the waste heat from the server room will be utilized for preheating of tap water and space heating when needed.

An installation of about 1,400 m<sup>2</sup> PV panels placed on the roof of the two office blocks and a common garage is expected to produce between 210,000 and 230,000 kWh/y. Due to the requirements from the municipality of keeping the shape of the buildings unchanged, the PV installation has to be kept more or less invisible from the ground. The tilt of the installation is therefore limited to 10° towards South, and with a certain mutual distance to avoid inter-row shading. Using thermal solar energy was assessed, but was not considered to be suitable due to the lack of match between heat demand and the production of solar heat.

#### *Materials and embodied energy*

Embodied energy is traditionally not taken into consideration in building projects but is included in the Powerhouse Kjørbo energy balance account, and shall be balanced by energy production. Hence, a considerable effort has been taken to reduce the embodied energy in the building. All existing reinforcing steel and concrete constructions remained. In addition, the existing glass façade panels are reused as interior panels in the refurbished buildings. The old façades are replaced with energy efficient windows and cladding made of charred wood, with long lifetime and marginal need for maintenance.

Embodied energy calculations are mainly based on information from energy product declarations (EPD), but also the database EcoInvent and several professional reports and



scientific articles. Due to lack of transparency and consistence in much of the documentation, there are large uncertainties associated with the calculated figure of embodied energy.

The total embodied energy, including replacement and maintenance over 60 years and expressed in primary energy, is distributed on the different building elements as seen in Table 2: below.

*Table 2: Embodied primary energy into different building elements, normalized per year.*

#### **1.4. Discussion of results**

Based on a high degree of energy efficiency measures, and a presumption of an optimal operation of the technical installations, the calculated demand for energy (energy need, delivered energy) is shown in Table 3: below. The simulations are carried out with the dynamic energy simulation tool SIMIEN [5], and are in accordance with the Norwegian Standard NS 3031 [6]. However, energy use for lighting and equipment is in accordance with expected real use, but for a normalized operation period.

*Table 3: Energy use in operation.*

The embodied energy for the materials is given in primary energy, so both operation energy and energy production is converted into primary energy in order to asses the total energy balance. The primary energy factors have been developed by the research center ZEB, based on the same principles as for the CO<sub>2</sub> factors for electricity [7]. The primary energy factor for electricity is progressively reduced towards the end of the building's (assumed) lifetime due to expected increased share of renewables in the European electricity production mix, as shown in Table 4:.

*Table 4: Primary energy factors for electricity.*

The PV installation is assumed to be replaced after 30 years, and then with 50% higher efficiency. At the same time, increased efficiency is weighted by a lower mean primary energy factor for the last 30 years, resulting in a lower primary energy contribution than for the first period. In Table 5, the total primary energy account for the Powerhouse Kjørbo is shown.

*Table 5: Primary energy account for Powerhouse Kjørbo (Operational energy use excluding equipment)*

The result shows that the project, during its lifetime, will produce more renewable energy than it will consume. The construction phase is included. When it comes to the demolition phase, there has so far been no time in the project studying the amount of this contribution to





the balance account. However, the surplus in the account is expected to cover the demolition phase with a high margin.

## 1.5. Conclusions

The refurbishment project Powerhouse Kjørbo shows that a plus-energy level, including the construction phase and embodied energy for materials, is achievable for the two office blocks in Norway. Necessary strategies for achieving this high ambition are a significant level of energy efficiency (e.g. passive house standard, innovative ventilation strategies), high focus on materials used, and optimized energy supply system for production of thermal energy and electricity onsite. The project is expected to be an important demonstration project for plus-energy buildings, both in Norway and worldwide.

*Acknowledgement: The Powerhouse alliance was established by Entra Eiendom, Skanska, Snøhetta, the environmental organisation ZERO and the aluminium company Hydro in 2011. Entra Eiendom is the owner of the two Powerhouse Kjørbo office buildings. Skanska is the contractor and the company's energy experts lead the energy concept development. Snøhetta is the architect. Asplan Viak is the engineering company, which is also the renter of the renovated buildings, and has been part of the design team. The energy concept, and in particular the work with estimating embodied energy figures, has been carried out in close cooperation with the research center ZEB.*

## 2. Zero-Energy Urban Buildings and Zero-Energy Communities

*Speaker: Santamouris, Mat<sup>c</sup>*

*Abstract: The present paper aims to discuss the main problems and features of urban buildings. The characteristics of the actual energy consumption, the main challenges on the urban built environment and the future prospects are discussed and analysed. The main technological and political priorities regarding the implementation of urban zero-energy buildings and settlements are discussed.*

*Keywords: urban microclimate, "heat islands", conservation techniques, passive solar technologies, zero-energy buildings and settlements*

### 2.1. Introduction

The built environment is not just the collection of buildings, it is in fact the physical result of various economic, social and environmental processes strongly related to the society



standards and needs. Economic pressures related to property and labour market, investment and equity, household income and the production and distribution of goods, in combination with social aspects related to culture, security, identity, accessibility and basic needs, and finally, in association with environmental influences related to the use of land, energy and materials, define and determine the built environment we live in.

The continuously increased urbanization, combined with the degradation of the urban climate and the recent upsurge of concern for the environment as well as the recent technological developments in the field of new energy technologies, defines the major priorities and considerations for urban buildings and structures and offers major technological and financial opportunities.

Increased industrialization and urbanization of the recent years have affected dramatically the number of the urban buildings with major effects on the energy consumption of this sector. It is expected that 700 million people will move to urban areas during the last decade of this century<sup>[1]</sup>. The number of urban dwellers has risen from 600 million in 1990 to 2 billion in 1986 and if this growth continues, more than one - half of the world's population will live in cities by the end of this century, while 100 years ago, only 14 percent lived in cities and in 1950, less than 30 per cent of the world population was urban. Today, at least 170 cities support more than one million inhabitants each. As estimated [8], in the United States, 90 percent of the population is expected to be living in, or around, urban areas by the year 2000.

The situation will be even more dramatic in developing countries. Already, twenty three of the thirty four cities with more than 5 millions inhabitants are in developing countries.

In EEC countries primary energy consumption in buildings represents a mean percentage of the total energy consumption close to 40 percent. Energy consumption of buildings have enormous economic and environmental implications. A very high part of this energy is produced from conventional fuels that will not be available to the future generations. Also, conversion of fuels into energy have an important effect to the environment through the emissions they cause

Environmental quality of indoor spaces should be seen as a compromise between building physics applied during the building's design, energy consumption and outdoor conditions. Indoor environmental quality can be seen as a combination of acceptable indoor air quality along with satisfactory thermal, visual and acoustic comfort conditions.

Research and development on the field of advanced energy systems, solar energy and energy conservation in buildings started with a relatively simple mandate : reduce oil dependence. Three decades later that goal has partly achieved, although the demand for zero-energy buildings offering an improved environmental quality of indoor spaces is emerging. Improved





living standards and increased outdoor pollution especially in urban environments put the emphasis mainly on aspects related to minimization of the energy consumption, indoor quality and comfort as well as to their relation with the urban environment.

Although indoor air quality is almost a direct function of the outdoor environmental quality, comfort in general is a more complex notion dealing with the physiological and psychological well being of the inhabitants and not necessarily the result of some thermal and visual parameters [9], having however a very serious impact to the specific energy consumption of buildings. New findings concerning appropriate comfort standards especially for the summer period, can result in high energy savings. It is suggested that, nominally, the same task can be achieved for different expenditure of energy [10].

The interrelation of all parameters defining indoor environmental quality should therefore be seen from an holistic view in the framework of an environmental sound energy strategy for near zero-energy buildings and settlements that is the absolute prerequisite to a sustainable society.

## **2.2. Methodology**

Alternative energy production techniques, passive heating, cooling and daylighting technologies based on the optimised use of solar resources combined with cooling strategies based on improved thermal protection of the building envelope, and on the dissipation of building's thermal load to a lower temperature heat sink, are in our days very effective. These strategies and techniques have already reached a very high level of architectural and industrial acceptance. Where advanced active and passive techniques are being considered as alternatives to conventional energy sources they offer important environmental quality, health, financial, and operational benefits.

Recent knowledge gained through intensive research and appropriate applications on the field of energy efficiency and solar utilisation, offer the necessary products, tools and techniques that permit the design of outstanding structures revealing ambitious architectural concepts, characterized by the minimum of energy necessary for heating, cooling and lighting as well as from the best indoor environmental quality

On the other hand, urban microclimates are characterized by heightened air temperatures, unique wind flow patterns, noise, and pollution. Deficiencies in development controls have, unfortunately, important consequences. The size of housing plots has been reduced increasing thus densities and the potential for traffic congestion. Increasing number of buildings has crowded out vegetation and trees. For example, as Athens has grown, open spaces have been reduced to 2.7 square meter per capita while the corresponding number for Paris, Rome,



London and Vienna are 8.4, 9.9 and 15 respectively. Also, it is reported that New York City has lost 175000 trees, or 20 percent of its urban forest, in the past ten years [8].

As a result of the evolution of urban areas, cities across the planet are getting progressively hotter than their surrounding areas. Since the turn of the century, average annual temperatures in many cities have increased by as much as 2.8 C. Increased urban temperatures have a direct effect on the energy consumption and the outdoor air quality while increased morbidity and loss of productivity have been shown to result from the stress caused by “heat islands” in large cities. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, (IPCC) reported as “major findings” that the populations which are most vulnerable to climatic change include the urban poor living in shanty towns, especially in mega-cities. IPCC concluded that a principal issue is the impact of climate change on human settlement and related socioeconomic activity.

In fact it is found that higher urban temperatures increase the electricity demand and the production of carbon dioxide and other pollutants. Heat island effect in warm to hot climates exacerbates cooling energy use in summer. It is reported, that for US cities with population larger than 100000 the peak electricity load will increase 1.5 to 2 percent for every 0.55 C increase in temperature. Estimations show that for Los Angeles almost 300 MW are needed additionally for 0.55 C temperature increase. Taking into account that urban temperatures during summer afternoons in US have increased by 0.6 to 2.1 C during the last forty years, it can be assumed that 3 to 8 percent of the current urban electricity demand is used to compensate for the heat island effect alone. Calculations shown that electricity costs for summer heat islands alone could be as much as \$1 million per hour, or over \$1 billion per year.

It is therefore evident that energy consumption and environmental quality of urban buildings as well as the corresponding measures for energy conservation merit to be examined independently to the other types of buildings and energy and environmental studies for urban buildings should be considered in a more extended framework. This framework involves except of the classical building science, advanced notions of urban building climatology, air pollution engineering, urban planning, energy management, urban biometeorology and ecology, as well as scientific notions on legal, economic and financial aspects related to urban environments.

For sure, there is a big list of ideas on how to decrease the energy consumption of buildings in our cities. However, and according to the author view and opinion, the main concerns and technological ideas that may be well thought-out in priority are :

- a) Improve the Urban Microclimate, fight heat island and reduce the energy needs for additional cooling.



- b) Use of sustainable energy supply systems for buildings based on the use of renewable sources like solar and biomass district heating and cooling.
- c) Use of demand side management techniques to control and regulate the energy consumption of big consumers.
- d) Integration of passive and active solar systems in the envelope of new and existing buildings, and use of high energy performance supply and management equipment
- e) Application of appropriate city planning techniques when new settlements are designed. The idea of compact city, reducing the needs for transport as well as the energy consumption of buildings is gaining an increasing acceptance. Ideas like these developed by the New Urbanism movement, based on mixed land uses, greater dependence on public transports, cycling and walking, decentralization of employment location, etc, may be further developed and applied to create a more sustainable urban environment.

In parallel, a series of institutional, economic and regulatory actions are foreseen as important. The more important of them may be :

- f) The development of a new more efficient legislative frame on the energy performance of buildings setting mandatory targets for energy conservation and use of renewable energy.
- g) Integration of the environmental cost in the price of goods and services
- h) Adoption of 'green consumption' principle by the urban citizens
- i) Adoption of the principle of 'fair trade' by the citizens and their institution in order to reduce exploitation of people mainly in less developed countries.
- j) Application of new ecological principles on the production and management of energy related systems and components, like the principle of natural capitalism.
- k) Strength the involvement of local authorities on the production, maintenance and management of the energy systems on the city level.

### **2.3. Discussion**

Based on the existing knowledge several questions appear to be dominant in the whole scientific discussion.

- How environmental programs in cities can improve the living conditions and the economic potential of the population and especially of the low income citizens ? In particular how measures to improve environmental conditions in cities have to be designed to create jobs locally and generate an important financial outcome ? Recent projects aiming to improve the energy and environmental conditions in buildings and open urban areas, have shown that it is possible to improve considerably outdoor thermal conditions during summer, enhance comfort conditions, fight local pollution, decrease or minimize the energy consumption and generate local jobs. In parallel, the



whole design procedure allows to educate young engineers and scientists on bioclimatic technologies. Finally, new developments allow the demonstration of new and advanced materials and techniques, while they offer opportunities for alternative industrial procedures

- Is it possible and how to generate additional economic outcome and create new jobs through the application of innovative environmental technologies in cities, like zero-energy buildings and settlements, etc, ? Various mitigation techniques to decrease the energy consumption of buildings, fight heat island and improve environmental conditions have been proposed. Selection of appropriate technologies and materials to be used in the urban fabric and buildings can contribute to the improvement of the urban microclimate, the decrease of the energy loads of the buildings and the reduction of air pollution.
- Is retrofitting of existing buildings and open urban spaces, the most powerful procedure to enhance economic activity in cities, generate wealth and new jobs while improving the quality of life of urban citizens ?

## **2.4. Conclusions**

The energy consumption of the building's sector is considerably high and important energy savings can be achieved using advanced conservation techniques and passive solar technologies. Zero-energy buildings and zero carbon settlements is a huge challenge for the scientific community that already works towards this direction. The deterioration of the outdoor environment creates important energy and environmental problems and asks for a more profound examination of the urban environment and their impact to buildings as well as to an extended application of passive heating, cooling and daylighting techniques. Appropriate research actions and pilot applications should aim to understand better micro climate around buildings, to understand and describe comfort requirements under transient conditions especially during the summer period, to improve quality aspects, and to develop alternative heating and cooling systems and techniques towards the optimization of the technical and economic parameters that define zero-energy buildings and settlements.

Past and present research on the buildings sector has permitted to achieve a certain maturity especially regarding passive solar heating and cooling technologies. To pass from research to practice is always a slow process and requires a favourable social, financial, legislative and technical environment. The undertaken actions contribute towards this goal and the first important results are now clear. Appropriate design of future research actions in order to face the new major energy and environmental problems in urban buildings and settlements, consolidation of the existing research and development as well as continuation of the dissemination processes to further inform, educate and convince building designers and



operators define the necessary strategy for future less consuming, near zero-energy, and more comfortable buildings. It is characteristic that a recent study carried out in Greece has shown that the financial investment potential for retrofitting of the existing building stock exceeds 20 billion euros, may result in an energy conservation of about 15 TWh, and a decrease of the peak electricity demand close to 1.7 GW, while it may generate up to 100000 new jobs.

### **3. Simulating intervention scenarios for carbon reduction in urban planning (SEMANCO Project)**

*Speaker: Cipriano, Xavi<sup>4</sup>*

*Abstract: The aim of this section is to present the web-based platform developed by the SEMANCO project. The platform facilitates the access to dispersed information on building characteristics, systems and occupation, and uses it to calculate the energy performance of buildings and urban areas. It also enables the user to create energy efficient interventions and to evaluate and compare them using a multidimensional set of performance indicators. In this way, the platform helps to meet the challenges of energy efficient urban planning and CO2 emission reduction.*

*Keywords: energy efficient urban planning, CO2 emission reduction, multi-scale perspective, multi-criteria analysis*

#### **3.1. Introduction**

The energy performance of buildings depends on multiple aspects: the buildings envelope, its geometry, systems, occupation and use. Moreover, the urban environment plays an important role in the energy performance of buildings due to the impact of surroundings, such as shadow casting over them. Urban planners should consider all these aspects in order to perform an energy efficient urban planning aimed at reducing energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, to access and use this information is far to be an easy task: data is often not available, or when it is, it is dispersed or unrelated to other data, or not available in the required formats that are necessary to understand the environmental and socioeconomic impact of energy efficient measures.

The SEMANCO project has developed a 3D web-based platform which allows the user to implement a methodology proposed for simulating different energy improvements in a city or in a area by using semantic data modelling. It enables to look-up the stored information in different sources and facilitates the analysis of results within a determined number of embedded tools to calculate the energy performance of different scenarios. See Picture 3 below.



Picture 3: a) Filtering buildings according to year of construction, energy need and built surface, b) building selection in the Platform interface Source: SEMANCO platform (2014) [19].

This platform allows creating multiple scenarios through the definition of urban energy models, plans and projects. Each urban energy model is a result of the combination of available input data like cadastre, GIS, climate, census and typologies (classified and stored in the Semantic Energy Information Framework (SEIF)) with the specific energy tools (i.e. URSOS in Spain, SAP in UK), defined by different user's profile. See Picture 4 below.

Picture 4: Relation of embedded energy tools with scenario creation. □ Source: SEMANCO project (2014) [18].

### 3.2. Methodology

The methodology was performed to simulate and compare the energy performance of an urban energy system and is based on simplified hourly simulation methods to calculate the energy demand and consumption of both individual and groups of buildings.

First of all, the user has to define an Urban Energy Model, which encompasses by entering the name of the model, defining the available data to be used and the tools that interact with the data. For instance, in the case of Spain, the selected tool for energy simulation at urban level is URSOS which is based on an energy balance method for each building considering the influence of shadows.

These tools and data are used to create the baseline of the urban energy system at a particular time. After that, the platform shows a 3D map of the city presenting the baseline of the energy performance of the city and the target urban area. In order to do so, the user selects an indicator (e.g. energy demand, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) and the platform shows the building coloured according to their performance under the selected indicator. Also, the user can click on each building and the platform retrieves information from the building data table and visualizes it in a pop-up window. In this way, the user is able to identify the building or urban area presenting poor energy performance. Also, the user can filter the buildings according to ranges (minimum and maximum values) of each indicator and to show the buildings that are between those ranges.

Then, the objectives of an energy efficient urban planning are defined by the user, who creates a Plan and add the selected the building/s or changes to this plan. These building are the target





for energy efficient interventions aimed at improving the energy performance of buildings and the urban area as well. The Plan is related to the urban energy model selected/created previously and, within this plan, the user defines a set of Projects aimed at fulfilling the objectives of the Plan.

The projects may encompass different energy efficient interventions, such as improvements in windows, insulation or heating and cooling systems or even changes in geometry, shape or configuration of streets and buildings. The user can create several projects, whose energy performance is calculated by the platform followed by a visualization of a pre-defined set of multidimensional indicators.

Finally, the user can proceed to compare the projects by means of a multi-criteria tool. That is, a tool that compares the projects according to the scores of the set of indicators, without reducing their values to a single unit of measurement. The user is also able to add new indicators and their values to include, for instance, other aspects not considered by the platform (e.g. costs, social acceptance). The outcome of the multi-criteria tool is a ranking of projects that support decision making in energy efficient urban planning.

### 3.3. Case studies

The SEMANCO project considered 3 case studies, which have been planned to define the functionalities of the platform. Moreover, these case studies consider different data, tools and users as it is explained below:

- a. **Copenhagen, Denmark** –This case encompasses a tool developed in an Excel spreadsheet with data requirements based on representative typologies. That is, the energy intensity of the buildings depends on their use and year of construction
- b. **Newcastle, United Kingdom.** This case incorporates the Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP) tool, defined as the National calculation method of building energy performance in the UK. The SAP tool has typical parameters required in the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) like geometry, HVAC systems or even pictures from façades.
- c. **Manresa, Spain.** This case has embedded the Urban Planning and Sustainability (URSOS) software to the platform. URSOS is a simulating software which gathers all information before (typologies and EPC parameters) combined with more specific data like climate, occupation, thermal transmittance, infiltration, cross-ventilation.

The 3 proposed case studies pretend to automate the calculation of the same indicators through different calculation methods. So that, the achievement of results will depend on the sophistication and complexity level required in each urban energy model.



### **3.4. Discussion of results**

#### **3.4.1. Description of the URSOS testing sample**

The case of the city of Manresa is the selected testing sample for the integration of URSOS tool. The aim of this case study is to assess the energy performance and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in an area of the Casc Antic District (old town of the city) based on the building refurbishment which had already taken place over the last 10 years and then it can be used to develop a future better urban energy model.

The first step is to gather the required information to perform an energy model for the baseline scenario. There are proposed two levels of work in this case: building and neighbourhood.

Regarding the building level, the energy assessment proposed is a comparison between the improved buildings (new and retrofitted scenarios) and the other old buildings (baseline). In this case different energy models are considered to validate new intervention scenarios.

Regarding the neighbourhood level, the definition of building typologies will be necessary to up-scale an energy consumption analysis from the building to neighbourhood scales.

#### **3.4.2. Integration of visualization tools**

A map sufficiently detailed of the city is generated from the online connexion to the municipal cadastre and allows to show a friendly 3D viewing of the GIS. The online tools combine interactive 3D models, tables and diagrams to display energy related data.

Each energy model can be applied to a group of buildings in a selected area or to the whole city. The simulation results will be shown in the 3D Map or other different information windows thanks to an ontology created to access the same energy-related information from different sources.

#### **3.4.3. Integration of URSOS**

URSOS is specially used to assess and compare the energy and environmental performance of buildings in an determined urban area. It also simulates the thermal behaviour of buildings or residential areas according to climate conditions, thermal characteristics of enclosures, ventilation rates and volume. Moreover, can result an reliable energy modelling method that allows urban planners to optimise energy demand and provide results at different scales: one individual building or a screen-selected group of buildings.

This tool has been embedded in the SEMANCO platform with the support of their own developers [16]. The result is a calculation engine offered to end-users as a “integrated tool



service” in the same platform. Once URSOS is selected, input data will be automatically provided by SEIF, but with the possibility of changing specific values manually in the XML input file. Once the calculation is performed, the whole URSOS output file can be downloaded as seen in Picture 5 below.

*Picture 5: Workflow of URSOS integration with SEMANCO Platform. Source: SEMANCO project (2014) [18].*

#### **3.4.4. Comparison with scenarios**

Regarding the study case of Manresa, a first level of work shall consider a comparison between different situations based on the level of refurbishment. Within the Multi-Criteria Development Analysis tool (MCDA) the user will allow to compare different projects from a list of indicators. The user decision will be based on the selection of indicators with absolute or intensive values (e.g. surface or time, respectively) or additionally, defining a “user indicator” with values according to the user’s knowledge.

Afterwards, user shall configure his/her respective preferences of weights and thresholds for each indicator and then, perform the multi-criteria analysis by clicking on the corresponding button.

The results of the MCDA tool will be shown in a ranking list according to the selected indicators. For instance, an example of results is provided in the next Picture 6:

*Picture 6: Example of ranking list obtained with the MCDA tool. Source: SEMANCO platform (2014) [19].*

From Picture 6, from 5 different situations, the best scenario is determined by a project of windows improvement. In second and third position are respectively the partial and full retrofitting projects. In fourth place, there is the baseline situation: do nothing. And the last rank is for the boiler renewal project. Finally, a pop-up window appears when the cursor is passing over each project with information about the selected indicators, in this case they are: energy need, energy demand, CO2 emissions, energy and investment costs.

#### **3.5. Conclusions**

The SEMANCO platform is designed to allow adding new data sources and tools to other study cases related to urban areas from other cities. This procedure is not automatic and shall



follow the same process of data semantic modelling with a new ontology and tools. Once the methodology is established, the new stored data will be automatically enriched from the different external data sources.

This platform represents a very innovative way of allowing end-users to access information and analysis from a urban energy model, adapted to their needs, through the various interfaces, diagrams and tables, in an intuitive way. It is very useful to help in the development of future urban plans related to nZEBs.

#### **4. The nZEB promotion within SEAP (AIDA Project)**

*Speaker: Lopez, Jose Santos<sup>a</sup>*

*Abstract: A methodology have been developed to promote public buildings as nZEBs within municipal roadmaps. It is based in the definition of needed actions to obtain the accomplishment of nZEB targets in urban scale mentioned by the EPBD Recast [1]. It mainly proposes to use different simplified analysis techniques at building level and then gives an orientation of values to achieve regional nZEB criteria for each construction whether for new buildings or renovations.*

*Keywords: nZEB action, nZEB criteria accomplishment, Sustainable Energy Action Plan, municipal roadmap, Covenant of Mayors*

##### **4.1. Introduction**

The Covenant of Mayors [15] involves many local and regional authorities to reach and try to exceed the implementation of sustainable energy policies in the European Union. Within the Sustainable Energy Action Plan (SEAP), each municipality can define its CO<sub>2</sub> reduction objectives by at least 20% to achieve in 2020. This document is a municipal roadmap which includes the scheduled activities with the corresponding future measures and deadlines to obtain these objectives. And it is composed by the two main templates:

- **Baseline Emission Inventory (BEI):** It quantifies a reference for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions based on energy consumption generated by all economic sectors (residential, commercial, industrial, municipal, transportation, etc.) and then it allows comparing to future scenarios.
- **Sustainable Energy Action Plan:** It collects all the actions aimed to reduce gas emissions in 2020 and also including energy production using Renewable Energy Sources (RES). Here each action or measure shall be described in detail and calculated from the economic cost results with the corresponding savings to energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.



In consequence, nZEBs become a valid option for the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction target predefined in SEAPs due to they generate a very low level of energy consumption and thus low CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## **4.2. Methodology**

Within the AIDA project, a “nearly” Zero-Energy Building action involves a measure of public awareness campaign to promote nZEBs at municipal and regional levels. The proposed actions shall describe common SEAP indicators like economic cost, renewable production, energy saving and CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction, as well as other more specific such as payback period and abatement cost.

The methodology proposed to calculate a nZEB action is following the next steps:

1. First of all, selecting the scale level to perform the calculation is required. For instance, a building section, whole building, a park of buildings or with a more widely way, a target area.
2. A detailed data sheet of the selection will serve to gather all the necessary information to perform the calculations for the action indicators.
3. An energy assessment for the bioclimatic measures and renewable production to have a result about the suitability of the selection (e.g. building) to the nZEB criteria achievement defined (see in Case studies).
4. Costs and other economic indicators due to satisfy the bioclimatic measures and renewable production defined.
5. Summary of the action within a table which shows the results of indicators.

An excel datasheet is available to ease in obtaining the result values of the action indicators (see in Discussion of results)).

## **4.3. Case studies**

A “nearly” Zero-Energy Building is defined as a building with a high-energy performance which leads to low consumption level. And the remaining energy demand has to be mostly covered by energy from renewable sources produced ‘on site’.

Regarding the energy balance, there are several ways to define a building as nZEB. Within SEAP procurements, the best nZEB concept adaptation can be followed by the ‘Nearly Net ZEB’ from the definitions are shown in Picture 7 below:



*Picture 7: Suggested definitions of nZEB coming from the NZEB [22]: The main differences regard the balance metric, balance boundaries and generation systems localization. Source: SHC Task 40 - Net ZEB Evaluation Tool [21].*

To have a successful implementation for the actions to promote nZEBs and ensure coherence with the new Directive is necessary to start defining reasonable boundaries for the scope of SEAPs. These boundaries were recently determined as principles for “nearly” Zero-Energy Buildings defined by the BPIE study [23]. According to these principles, different nZEB criteria can be proposed for the calculations considering as relevant parameters: climatic zone and type of building, which are relevant for energy consumption level (observed in experiences like the Ecofys study report [24]).

Therefore, regional nZEB criteria shall be implemented at least according to each climatic area and type of building for the possible case studies. In the AIDA project, each partner will consider these criteria as a country level. The proposed **nZEB criteria for Spain** are:

- a. The building must achieve the energy rating of Class A
- b. The energy balance in primary consumption must be covered at least between 50 and 70% with renewable energy production.
- c. The total primary energy consumption has to be between 50-60 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>year with a maximum emission of 3 Kg CO<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>year.

The AIDA project limits the promotion of nZEBs on a preliminary and voluntary achievement of nZEBs across public sector and then leading it as an example to have a better involvement of the other tertiary and residential sectors.

There are two types of SEAP actions can be dealt:

- **New building:** In accomplishment with the criteria defined to reach nZEBs and considering an Integrated Energy Design (IED) methodology for all construction stages, from design and construction until the building usage.
- **Partial or full refurbishment:** Focused in determining an existing building renovation having in consideration its current energy consumption, building architecture and equipment.

#### **4.4. Discussion of results**

##### **4.4.1. Proposed calculation tools**

There are proposed different open-source simplified tools which allow to obtain reliable and quick results to perform the energy calculations required by SEAPs:



- a. Energy certification tools in Spain: CES-CERMA[25] (new buildings), CE3-CE3X [26] (existing buildings).
- b. Energy audits: GENERATION [27], EQUEST [28], SIMEB [29].
- c. Renewable energy design: PVGIS [30] (solar PV), CHEQ4 [31] (solar Thermal), BIOHOUSING [32] (Biomass).

#### **4.4.2. Template used to calculate a nZEB action**

There are 4 main available sections to help user:

1. Building / Target Area Datasheet
2. Energy Assessment of the nZEB Refurbishment or Criteria Accomplishment
3. Economic Assessment of the nZEB Refurbishment or Criteria Accomplishment
4. Summary of the nZEB Action

In the next Picture 8 and Picture 9 , it appears an example of applied information like type of nZEB action, building features and energy results:

*Picture 8: Description of the building or target area. Source: CIMNE (2014) [20].*

*Picture 9: Achievement of the highest energy efficient class without the contribution of RES. Source: CIMNE (2014) [20]*

Once the white spaces (blue-coloured numbers) are filled, the tool will provide the results of the action. An example of obtained results is shown as following Picture 10 and Picture 11:

*Picture 10: “nearly” Zero-Energy consumption and very low CO2 emission results. Source: CIMNE (2014) [20].*

*Picture 11: Summary of main indicators for the nZEB action. Source: CIMNE (2014) [20].*

As the picture above shows, the values of main indicators for the nZEB action can be exported to the municipal SEAP document and used to design for the future measures.

#### **4.4.3. Implemented results in Spain**



The updated list to the middle of 2014 is in below.

*Picture 12: List of nZEB implemented in Spain within SEAPs. Source: CIMNE (2014) [20].*

#### **4.5. Conclusions**

Depending on the type of action, the information requested is different. In the case of a new building, the action is oriented to a reference situation applied for the same building to standard requirements according to national building codes. In the other case, an existing building, the information required is more detailed in order to have a suitable energy efficiency improvement regarding the current situation. In both cases, results shall be provided with the same roadmap indicators.

A SEAP can ensure a good nZEB promotion because municipality is involved with its roadmap and due to the recent European directives can be used to share results to other interested sectors.

### **Overall conclusion of the session**

Different points of view are boarded:

#### **Strenghts**

- Within high ambition retrofit strategies (e.g. passive house standard, innovative ventilation strategies) by using high-efficient materials and the optimization of energy supply systems for production of thermal energy and electricity onsite, there is a high possibility to achieve a zero-energy level.
- Zero-energy buildings and zero-carbon settlements are a huge challenge for the scientific community that already works towards advanced conservation techniques and passive solar technologies in order to reduce the issue of high energy consumption in the present building sector.
- The simulation of intervention scenarios boosts the future development for urban plans with the nZEB achievement even more realistic and consistent than individually performed at building level.



- SEAP encourages municipal authorities to fulfill the commitment of 20% reduction in CO2 and promote the “nearly” Zero-Energy Buildings construction in the near future according to the energy performance of building directives.

### **Weaknesses**

- Despite past and present research and pilot actions have been performed and can solve major energy and environmental problems, to assimilate them in the practice is always a slow process and it involves favourable mechanisms to achieve relevant results in the future.
- Simplified software tools are not accurate to perform reliably energy simulations and they can easily lead to error. Expert knowledge is required to modify the predefined values.
- An energy audit of the existing building is required to obtain better results on energy efficiency measures and consequently, to calculate the suitable energy consumption balances for the achievement of nZEB retrofitting.
- Spain is one of the countries there are more municipalities with SEAPs subscribed in the Covenant of Mayors. This reality seems to be nearer to be eligible for possible funding opportunities instead of reaching the objectives of reducing CO2 emissions or having in consideration the nZEB as a worthwhile investment.

### **New developments towards nZEBs**

- Net ZEB Evaluation Tool: <http://task40.iea-shc.org/Data/Sites/11/documents/net-zeb/Net-ZEB-Evaluation-Tool2.xlsm>
- SEMANCO Platform for simulating urban scenarios: [http://arcdev.housing.salle.url.edu/semanco/platform\\_prototype5/](http://arcdev.housing.salle.url.edu/semanco/platform_prototype5/)
- Implementation guide for including actions to promote nZEB within SEAP: <http://aidaproject.eu/>
- Energy Accounting and Management System - SIE (Sistema de Información y gestión Energética) (online payment tool): <http://www.inergybcn.com/sie-sistema-dinformacio-i-gestio-energetica/?lang=en>

### **References**

- [1] Directive 2010/31/UE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010 on the energy performance of buildings (recast). Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2010:153:0013:0035:EN:PDF>

- [2] [www.powerhouse.no](http://www.powerhouse.no)
- [3] [www.zeb.no](http://www.zeb.no)
- [4] NS 3701:2012. Kriterier for passivhus og lavenergibygninger. Yrkesbygninger
- [5] SIMIEN, "Simulering av energibruk og inneklima i Bygninger" from Programbyggerne
- [6] Norsk Standard NS 3031:2007, Beregning av bygningers energiytelse. Metode og data
- [7] Dokka, T.H., Sartori, I., Thyholt, M., Lien, K. and Lindberg, K.B. (2013) A Norwegian Zero Emission Building Definition, *Nordic Passive House conference*, 15-17 Oct., Göteborg, SE.
- [8] Brown L. R : State of the World : A World Watch Institute Report on Progress Toward a Sustainable Society. New York, N.Y. W.W. Norton. Chapter 3.
- [9] United States Environmental Protection Agency : Cooling Our Communities. A Guidebook on Tree Planting and Light Coloured Surfacing. January 1992.
- [10] E. de Oliveira Fernandes : The Relationship Comfort-Energy - IAQ. Proc. of the Workshop on Indoor Air Quality Management, C.A. Roulet, (Ed), The Commission of the European Communities, Directorate General XII for Science, Research and Development, p.p. 95-102. Lausanne.
- [11] N. Baker and M. Styvensen : PASCOOL Final Report on Comfort, Commission of the European Communities, Directorate General for Research. November 1995.
- [12] Eurostat 2012. Energy balance sheets 2009-2010. Eurostat Statistical books. Accessed online: 2014-01-31. Download link: [http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY\\_OFFPUB/KS-EN-12-001/EN/KS-EN-12-001-EN.PDF](http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_OFFPUB/KS-EN-12-001/EN/KS-EN-12-001-EN.PDF)
- [13] Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC. Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:02009L0028-20130701&qid=1405438470919&from=EN>
- [14] Directive 2012/27/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on energy efficiency, amending Directives 2009/125/EC and 2010/30/EU and repealing Directives 2004/8/EC and 2006/32/EC. Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2012:315:0001:0056:EN:PDF>
- [15] Covenant of Mayors. Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: [http://www.covenantofmayors.eu/index\\_en.html](http://www.covenantofmayors.eu/index_en.html)
- [16] URSOS: Urban Planning and Sustainability software. Download link to URSOS: <http://ursos.es/descargas/>
- [17] AIDA Project: <http://www.aidaproject.eu/>

- [18] SEMANCO Project: <http://semanco-project.eu/>
- [19] SEMANCO Platform: [http://arcdev.housing.salle.url.edu/semanco/platform\\_prototype6/](http://arcdev.housing.salle.url.edu/semanco/platform_prototype6/)
- [20] CIMNE-Beegroup team: <http://www.cimne.com/beegroup/>
- [21] SHC Task 40: Proposed definitions of the Net ZEB Evaluation Tool. Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: <http://task40.iea-shc.org/Data/Sites/11/documents/net-zeb/Net-ZEB-Evaluation-Tool2.xlsm>
- [22] I. Sartori, et al., Net zero-energy buildings: A consistent definition framework, Energy Buildings (2012). Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778812000497>
- [23] BPIE study: Principles for “nearly” Zero-Energy Buildings (November 2011). Proposed principles and approaches for implementation. Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: [http://www.bpie.eu/documents/BPIE/publications/LR\\_nZEB%20study.pdf](http://www.bpie.eu/documents/BPIE/publications/LR_nZEB%20study.pdf)
- [24] Ecofys study report: Definition of common principles under the EPBD (February 2013). Accessed online: 2014-06-30. Download link: [http://ec.europa.eu/energy/efficiency/buildings/doc/nzeb\\_full\\_report.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/energy/efficiency/buildings/doc/nzeb_full_report.pdf)
- [25] General simplified software for Energy Performance Certification of buildings in Spain. Download link to CES: <http://faen.es/nueva/controler.php?id=8&idDetalle=774&idIdioma=ES>. Download link to CERMA: <http://www.minetur.gob.es/energia/desarrollo/EficienciaEnergetica/CertificacionEnergetica/DocumentosReconocidos/Paginas/Procedimientossimplificadosparaedificiosdeviendas.aspx>
- [26] Simplified software for Energy Performance Certification of existing buildings in Spain. Download link to CE3/CE3X: <http://www.minetur.gob.es/energia/desarrollo/EficienciaEnergetica/CertificacionEnergetica/DocumentosReconocidos/Paginas/Procedimientossimplificadosparaedificiosexistentes.aspx>
- [27] GENERATION Simplified Energy Audit tool. Download link <http://www.provincia.modena.it/page.asp?IDCategoria=7&IDSezione=3640&ID=79458>
- [28] DOE2 simplified: the Quick Energy Simulation Tool (eQUEST). Download link: <http://www.doe2.com/equest/>
- [29] Simulation énergétique des bâtiments (SIMEB). Download link: <https://www.simeb.ca/>
- [30] Photovoltaic Geographical Information System (PVGIS). Link to online access: <http://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pvgis/>



- [31] CHEQ4: software tool to validate solar thermal facilities in Spain: Download link: <http://www.idae.es/index.php/id.683/mod.pags/mem.detalle>
- [32] BIOHOUSING Heating tool from European project. Link to online access: <http://www.biohousing.eu.com/heatingtool/default.asp?lang=spa>